

January 16, 1986

amendments on this floor. I don't think you want to do that. That will take a lot of time in any session. In the short session I understand now we have 27 constitutional amendments in the committee. If they were distributed amongst the committees, how many more of those would be on the floor if they didn't remain where they are now? I, too, am a little concerned about Agriculture. I don't know enough about what is put in that committee. I've never served on the committee, but I think at a time when agriculture is in such dire need of legislation, that we are giving it a one-day committee, that perhaps maybe the other senators that represent those areas will be able to figure that one out. I do know on the committees that I am a member of and have been ever since I've been here, and it is 10 years now, I know the constitutional amendments that have been sent to that committee, and so be it, we should be the gatekeeper of the constitutional amendments. We've had them time and time again. They come back to us, maybe every two years the same constitutional amendments, but we do figure out which one is more important than the other. So I wholeheartedly support the reconsideration of what we did the other day. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Scofield, Senator Schmit is next.

SENATOR SCOFIELD: Thank you, Mr. President. I rise to urge you to oppose the reconsideration and to give us a chance to implement what I believe are some very positive changes that will allow this body to address some of the issues that I've heard people talk about ever since I came in here. Granted, that is not very long ago, but nevertheless I think it was generally agreed upon that the work loads were too heavy, that we had particular committees that did have problems with those work loads and I believe that the solution that we adopted the other day, although not even to my liking in all instances, is very much a step in the right direction. You will recall, for instance, how many times we've talked about the work load of the Judiciary Committee. If you've had a bill in the Judiciary Committee and tried to get it out, you know how hard that committee works, and yet you know how long it took you to get it out. Having just dealt with them last year, I know they work hard. I know they try hard, but they definitely need the relief proposed by the change that we adopted the other day. To speak very frankly, I wish I would have kept track of how many times