

January 16, 1986

the other hand, they have gone into the actual change of the rules. So we are discussing two issues, so naturally I'll have to discuss the two issues. I would like to call to the attention of this body that under the rule change that we adopted,...

PRESIDENT: (Gavel.) Excuse me, Senator. May we have order in the Chamber, please. (Gavel.) Go ahead, Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: ...there is nothing, nothing permanent because Senator Morehead just told us that the format is to be made up by the Committee on Committees under the guidance of the Executive Board. So what you voted on the other day is not what you are going to get the way it is handled now. You may not even have a choice in what you are going to get because all you have to do is check and see who is on the Committee on Committees, who is also on Rules, and who is also in the Executive Board. So we are not getting what we voted on. It can be changed. In fact I talked to Senator Chronister and asked him, and Senator Chronister wasn't quite sure just exactly what the duties of his committees were going to be. Somebody else is...they are going to decide this in the future. Now it's been mentioned that almost all legislatures in other states encompass certain areas in one issue or one body. Well, that doesn't buy anything with me because we are the only Legislature, one house. We just do things different, we think different, we act different, and what the other states do, how they are organized has nothing to do with the way we organize because in their states the speaker and the minority leader and the majority leader, they are the ones who say which bills even get a hearing or get introduced or get to the floor. They don't even have our process. Their bills don't even have public hearings. They are a closed corporation, period, paragraph. So the way they are set up has nothing to do with this body because we don't, in any way outside of being elected and the difference in the salary, have anything in common except we are all lawmakers. So I would ask you to vote to reconsider what we did, then go on to the next issue. I would like to say that this coalition, that evidently I am a part of, is not a narrow coalition of special interest, it is not that at all. It is just some senators who wish to have it reconsidered, take it and vote it over. That is all it is. There is nothing wrong with this process. It's been done many, many times. Thank you,