

January 16, 1986

will look at the big picture. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

PRESIDENT: Thank you, Senator. Senator Morehead.

SENATOR MOREHEAD: Mr. President and members of the body, I, too, rise naturally in opposition of this reconsideration motion. I think that the decision that was made in here on Monday was a courageous decision on the parts of many people who realized that maybe they were going to have to realign some of their special interests or areas of expertise. It doesn't hurt any of us to grow in new areas. It doesn't hurt the urban senators to become more aware of environmental issues in...outside, I should say, of their city confines. It doesn't hurt those of us who live in rural areas to serve on the Urban Affairs Committee. Just because that was our choice this year, we can develop expertise and grow and better serve the people in the State of Nebraska by realigning some of those interests and areas. The point has been made that we did not have a thorough enough time to discuss this issue on Monday. Even though I did not like to go to the cost of reprinting the information again, I did so to show you something that we have all previously received in the mail and you have had time to discuss. Before you, on your desks, are the four possibilities of committee realignment that could come from this adaptation of rule change. Naturally there are other ways that it could be implemented, but there were four originally and you all received them. You'll notice that there are some scribblings on those four, and frankly those were my personal ones that were made in the committee meeting. Later, the joint meeting of Executive Committee and Rules, since it was their interim study, decided to advance Format I as number 1 to you for your scrutiny, and we did decide to advance Format IV as number 2. You could look at those and you did look at those. For purposes of discussion only we advanced to the floor then Format II. Many of you feel that by adopting this rule change you are adopting Format II. That is not so. The format that is finally implemented will be up to the Committee on Committees and I assume with some guidance and help from the Executive Board since they've been in on a lot of the research. This, I would anticipate with Senator Chronister's guidance, would have a full and thorough hearing yet this year. Now if you look at the other formats, you will see that there is a possibility that the Agriculture Committee could remain a two-day committee.