

January 16, 1986

one. You have both the interest of people involved in agriculture and interest of people who are concerned with the environment. There is, I think, a carefully balanced line that we are following in that committee where the members of that committee are doing their very best to both provide for agriculture and yet provide for the environment. I think that that is positive, I think you need that debate in a committee. I think the legislation that has come out of that committee has been fair, both to agriculture and to the environment. Some will say that agriculture probably has had more impact on the environment than environment has had on agriculture. But I think a good indication of what is about to come about is what Senator Beutler mentioned on the floor of the Legislature yesterday when he was talking about LB 217, when if we don't address some of the issues affecting the environment, specifically water quality legislation, outside interests outside of this body, petition groups, which are circulating right now petition ballots...or petition signatures to put an amendment on the ballot, will do the work that we cannot do. You can raise the pro and con of Initiative 300 and how it has affected Nebraska agriculturally, I can say the same thing may happen to us in the water quality area if we don't start addressing some environment issues in this Legislature. I think it is evident by the action that the Agriculture and Environment Committee has moved along very rapidly, I think, and brought out some very positive bills, as I mentioned the other day LB 284, LB 217, LB 474. We passed an aboveground fuel storage tank bill last year. I think that you're going to see a number of other important environmental issues addressed. So I don't think that really you are going to see the Legislature void, or at least this particular committee, whether the environment portion happens to be in the Agriculture Committee as it is now, or shifting it into a Natural Resources Committee, that you are going to get any better or worse legislation. I understand the concerns that people have when you talk about the work load, and trying to get that work load divided up so it is more even. But, as a chairman of a committee, I can honestly tell you you are going to have to push harder in committee. I think the committees are going to have to do a better job under any system of addressing issues, both pro and con, killing bills, or advancing only the very best bills out on the floor of the Legislature and letting some go. That maybe sometimes means ruffling feathers in this Legislature of certain individuals. But if we want quality legislation, as