

and I think that the bill drafters' office individual is down here now trying to explain to us all exactly what...why these sections are numbered as they are, but I don't think that that should detract from the content of the amendments and the bill itself. I think that the amendments themselves are well thought out, ones that need to be changed in certain cases, others that I think will help strengthen the bill and I think will not impose a significant burden upon others, especially farmers and residential tanks. Overall I can say that I think many of the problems that Senator Lamb has raised about these amendments which are substitute amendments to the committee amendments can be cleared up in E & R from my understanding and hopefully we can work out these problems. But I don't want to lose the substance of these amendments just because there might be a numbering error as far as the sections are concerned at this point and I think if there is a significant problem we can amend those on Select File. So I would just move for the adoption of these amendments as substitutes for the committee amendments.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The question is the adoption of the Rod Johnson bill. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted that care to? Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Johnson's and Vickers' amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The Rod Johnson amendment is adopted. Do you have another one, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Yes, sir, I do. Mr. President...Senator Vickers, Senator, I had two amendments to the committee amendments that were earlier withdrawn. I would assume that those are to be withdrawn as well.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Both of them? All right, they are withdrawn. Anything else, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: I have nothing further. Senator, your amendment on 865 is to be offered on Select File. Is that correct? I have nothing further on the bill, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Hefner, we're back to speaking about the bill.