

January 13, 1986

the committees when you are voting are voting for the best interests that they think of the state, not just the vested interests in this case of agriculture over the environment. So just because it is one committee, it won't take that away from any committee. There is still going to be politics and games that will be played on particular issues regardless of what committee they end up in.

SENATOR LAMB: The Chair recognizes Senator Morehead.

SENATOR MOREHEAD: Mr. President and members of the body, I have been listening intently to not only that which has been presented at the microphone, but that which has been discussed on the floor among the members of the body and there are several things that come to my attention. First of all, the proposal that we are voting upon is not how this is actually going to be implemented, and many of the arguments that are being presented to us on the floor I know are addressing the actual implementation of this. Now the primary emphasis behind this was that we had to not only consider the number of bills but also the subject matter, and the subject matter that was chosen in taking the Public Works Committee and dividing it into two is a direct reflection of changing public policies and interests and things that are coming before us, so that areas which previously did not have so many bills are now garnering even greater numbers of legislation. I would say that the number of bills in a committee such as Urban Affairs, as somebody referred to, we kept Urban Affairs that way because we concentrated and kept the concentration of subject matter. Now when people stand up and say if you make Agriculture a one-day committee some way or another that doesn't make it as important, I would argue the counter to that. Does that mean that Business and Labor is not important because it is a one-day committee? Does that mean that Urban Affairs is not important because it is a one-day committee? I would say if you concentrate those things and don't ask for its dilution, you are in fact making it more important and not less important. So I think you can argue that. But, again, this does not, by the adoption of this rule, does not say how it is actually going to be implemented. Senator Lamb has pointed out, Senator Barrett brought before us the suggestion that maybe the one-day committees or the two-day committees should be moved to the first of the week and the last of the week have the committees which have greater numbers of days, the three-day committees. I agree with