

January 13, 1986

continually being overloaded and that is whose fault? That is our own faults. We just submit too many bills. I can see some advantage to the Government taking some of the things that was on Judiciary but I cannot see any advantage to deleting the Agriculture Committee to one day. We need two days because there is going to be more problems confronting us in the future, and as far as taking Environment out of it, I don't think that is necessary. We lived with it very well for the last several years, and in looking at the Natural Resources Committee, I can see that that is going to be really overloaded. You say that there will be proposed 229 bills. I can see way over 300 bills in that particular committee if you have all of those jurisdictions in that particular committee. I served on the Constitutional Revision Committee and Recreation Committee and I have noticed that generally the Constitutional Revision Committee gets the committee members that haven't got a slot some place else and these are individuals who are not sold on any one certain subject matter and it was a pleasure to serve on that committee knowing that you really had to have a good point in order to get the vote because we had a real good cross section on that committee. To me that would be an ideal place to have the constitutional changes that are being considered when you have this type of individuals on that particular committee. So with these reasons at this time, I cannot support this and I will give the rest of my time to Senator Rod Johnson.

SENATOR LAMB: You have about two and a half minutes, Senator.

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: I won't need that time. I just want to make a point that has been discussed several times over and that is Agriculture and Environment, and that water and soil and the air are all part of the public interest and that Agriculture shouldn't have that, that it should be something broader than that, that the public should have a greater input on the issue. The Ag and Environment Committee has, I think, a good cross section of senators from this state, not just in production agriculture but in other enterprises, and I would just say that when I go into committee, no matter if it is the Ag Committee or the Education Committee or the Urban Affairs Committee which I serve on, I don't necessarily think of my vested interest in this issue as a production ag person but rather what is in the best interest of this state, and I think that most of the members on all