

January 13, 1986

Chambers' obviously would have gone to Judiciary. There were two school consolidation issues that were held on the back burner after 662 passed, but they would have gone to Education. Initiative 300 would have gone to Ag. A state banking proposal of Senator Vard Johnson's would have gone to Banking Committee. State equal rights amendment of Senator Beutler's, I'm not sure where that would have been referenced. We have numerous things dealing with the Legislature. Senator Wesely had one that came out of committee on early reorganization in December that the Legislature could meet, Senator Withem had one on Final Reading. Senator Warner just introduced one on biennial session. I'm sure all of these would have gone to Government Committee. So you can make a good argument about proposed constitutional amendment as floating by subject matter. But I want to point out one thing to you as you vote on this, and I think it is an important argument why constitutional amendments are treated separately than bills. We argued the other day that it takes...whether it takes 30 to resurrect them from the dead, or 25, and that we treat constitutional amendments as bills. But ladies and gentlemen I want to emphasize to you that constitutional amendments, and think about this for a minute, they are not bills, there is no finality by us passing a constitutional amendment. We are just one step in the process and we put it on the ballot for the people to decide on. There is no gubernatorial veto of a constitutional amendment as was pointed out the other day. Constitutional Revision Committee has historically, I think, viewed constitutional amendments and looked at it as a severe screening process coming through that committee. We don't arbitrarily try to say we are only going to let two or three out this year. Don't read me wrong on that, that we try to arbitrarily hold the number down. But think of this, think of this, we have 13 standing committees. If each one were to advance just one constitutional amendment, they'd say we're going to hold it down this year, our committee is only going to put one out, we'd immediately have 13 constitutional amendments to go on the ballot. They also pile up for two years because we only have a general election every two years. So we would have the possibility of two dozen constitutional amendments coming out. Plus we have people carrying referendums. The referendum process out there right now, petitions are being carried to repeal 662 to repeal the seat belt and they are both going to be on the ballot. We have the initiative process going on right now where people are