

January 13, 1986

Resources with the deletion of the Public Works Committee. These are the basic changes with the implications that would follow. In this study we made a definite effort to keep this personality and political neutral. Now I don't suppose there is anything in this body that can really be addressed that way. But the research that was done, I feel, is the finest of quality in support of our two committees, and every effort was made to address it in that manner. The study is important because it does address the basic aspects of organization and procedures in this body. As a legislative body we are required to do three things. Obviously one of them, and I feel the most important probably, is to address what we would consider public policy for the State of Nebraska in addition to budget and oversight and cooperation with the executive branch. In an effort to equalize workloads, to address these public policy issues, we present this proposal before you. Now, why do we do this? Society changes, times change, public policy changes, and I feel that we should, as a legislative body, look at the changing of times and the issues that are before us as a society. This naturally says that some things become more important than others. I think that we need to concentrate our efforts in the area of agriculture and not dilute those areas by giving the Agricultural Committee other things that would compete with those interests. In the area of public works, as we have been addressing it, there are so many bills that have been carried by the Public Works Committee, many of them are so important that I think that the members of our legislative body need to have the ability to concentrate their efforts in certain areas. This, in fact, does create a more efficient process. It also enables each and every one of us to become experts in certain areas, much easier than trying to dilute our time and efforts and energies over a whole broad spectrum. The Committee on Committees would be the final committee to determine the actual format as it would go into effect. I am sure that they would do that in conjunction with the total of the legislative body, I feel that it would be well done, and I know with the help of other research and this background information. For purposes of discussion I will stop now because I know many of you have opinions that you would like to interject into this particular issue. Again I say the rule is as it stands on page 212, with the addition that it would not become operative until next year. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.