

January 10, 1986

is, reject this and leave it the way it is in the rules right now, a little ambiguous, open for debate as Senator Haberman would debate anything, but the way it is now. So, I think that it has been thoroughly discussed. You can vote this up or down and that, if it goes down, then it will be just the way it stands. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President, I think Senator Morehead is absolutely right in that the rule as it is right now is somewhat ambiguous, but sometimes in issues like this I would suggest to you it might be better to be a little bit ambiguous than to tie ourselves down too tight and at some point in time wish that we hadn't have. So I would simply urge you to reject the Morehead amendment to the rules as well and leave the rule that we've operated under, even though it may be ambiguous, in place in the rule book. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Is there further discussion on the proposed rule change? Senator Morehead, you may close.

SENATOR MOREHEAD: I could explain it.

PRESIDENT: Senator Haberman, did you wish to speak?

SENATOR HABERMAN: (Response inaudible.)

SENATOR MOREHEAD: Senator Haberman had a question about the amendment. This amendment as it stands now that says, if Senator Sieck left and he didn't get himself excused, then as a legislative body we can compel his attendance. But if Senator Sieck left and did have himself excused, then we cannot compel his attendance, his expense. It reads now that his expense, it would be his expense, not ours. I think it has been thoroughly discussed. I'll make the motion for its adoption.

PRESIDENT: Are you finished, Senator Morehead?

SENATOR MOREHEAD: Yes, I am, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: All right. We're ready to vote then on the proposed rule change on page 213 offered by Senator Morehead. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay.