

January 10, 1986

on. I thought I was recognized to close.

PRESIDENT: I didn't know that either, but then I want to give Senator Haberman the opportunity to make his statement.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the body, thank you, Senator Withem. I'm sorry for interrupting. I found some few other ambiguities in this. Remember, it just takes a majority of those voting to go under call, but then we change the whole structure and makes it 25 to decide whether he has a good reason to be excused or not, so those two are in conflict. Secondly, if the senator who is excused I know is a no vote, I'm going to get up and argue that his being excused is perfectly legal and liable, but if on the other side he is a yes vote, I'm going to stand up there and say bring DeCamp back or Conway or Withem because I know he is a...to myself, he is a yes vote, I want him here. So there is going to be great debate and fights. Number three, let's say that one of the senators in the body has a job and this job calls for them to be at a certain place at a certain time. Now this is how he earns his living, how he pays his kids to go to school, his medical bills, his food on the table and his salary and he has to be there, so he gets excused. So he goes to this meeting or he is in charge of this seminar and the body says, you come back. But his boss says, your job comes first. The Legislature can argue you were run to be elected to this office, you're to be here. So this senator is trapped. If we call him back, he loses his job. Then what's he going to do, go on welfare? So, I just don't think it's going to work. I just think we're setting up some barriers, we're going to set up debate, we're going to cause problems, the ambiguities on going under call or calling a man back. So I would like to again suggest that we do not adopt this rule change and for all that further debate, the next one either. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: (Gavel.) May we have order, please. Senator Withem, you may close now.

SENATOR WITHEM: Thank you, Mr. President. I think Senator Haberman did a good job at the end of his closing, talking about the philosophical argument on the other side. I guess my only response to that is I am that individual he was speaking of who was told that you have a job here in Omaha to put on this seminar for the Mechanical Contractors