

January 10, 1986

the end of August my husband said, look, we are going on a vacation, and we went. It had been one that we had been planning for ten years and saving and we went to Europe. I had no way of being notified that we were in a special session, and thank God you only needed 25 senators here to vote to put those two little words in the bill that was erroneously left out. But had they come after me for that special session, I'd hate to think that, number one, I'd have to pay the expense of coming back and breaking up a vacation; and, number two, I'd hate to think that the state would have to pay that expense because it took me ten years to save up enough money for it. Of course, the state probably would pick it up out of the petty cash. So I'm not sure how I would vote on this, but I think it is something to consider, the fact that sometimes governors call special sessions and senators have absolutely no notice of it. So should they be forced to come back and should it be at their own expense or at state expense? I'm just citing my own personal experience and also I'm taking this opportunity to let the people know that the World Herald didn't mention the fact that I was overseas and couldn't be found and that is why I missed the entire seven-day session. But the World Herald isn't known for telling people the whole truth, just part of it. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

PRESIDENT: Senator Morehead.

SENATOR MOREHEAD: Thank you, Mr. President. Back to the mike again. Senator Withem and I have decided to debate this as one debate, so that you are not subjected to two different motions. In the discussion from the motion that we withdrew yesterday, from that discussion we bring you two options. You can accept Senator Withem's option, or you can accept the option which I have presented which follows. If you reject both, then the rule, as it stands, is still in force. Now he's explained his side thoroughly and I will follow and just explain the option which will follow if you reject his. I believe that Senator Labeledz spoke to this option yesterday saying that there are some people in here that feel that if a senator is excused they are excused, it is not up to us to judge where, why, what, how, question anything. They have been excused, they are excused. In this option which follows there is no way to compel their return by a vote, by anything. They are excused, they are gone and that is their business. If their voters don't like it, they can take action the next election. Senator Withem