

January 10, 1986

change. Any remaining time you can give to Senator Morehead.

PRESIDENT: Senator Morehead, you may...very well. The motion is to adopt the rule change proposed by the Rules Committee. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. We are voting now on the rule change proposed by Senator Morehead of the Rules Committee and Senator Vickers. Please record your vote, aye or nay. Twenty-five votes required. The motion is to approve the rule change offered by Senator Morehead's committee and Senator Vickers. Please record your vote if you wish to vote. Please record your vote. Have you all voted? Record the vote, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 1 nay on adoption of the change, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Motion is carried. The rule change is adopted. Next, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next change I have is by...Senator, this is the one on committee restructuring. It is on page 212 of the Journal.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Morehead.

SENATOR MOREHEAD: Mr. President, members of the body, I know we've gone on a long time discussing rules, some which are of a little more interest than others to you, but I would ask you to give full attention, at this time, to the proposed rule change which is on page 212. This issue I know is of interest to you. This rule change has been brought to you because there was an interim study process authorized by the Executive Board, a combined study by the Executive Board and the Rules Committee which has gone on this summer and this fall. You will all recall that we had a special meeting at Legislative Council in December discussing the implications of this rule change. From that meeting people like Senator Haberman asked that we...every member of the body be kept fully apprised of the direction in which this proposal was moving. Consequently, you had in your mail you, received four restructuring possibilities, proposals one, two, three, and four. Then shortly after that you received another communication in which you had proposals or Formats I and II. Now the rule change, as it appears on page 212 of the Journal, does not reflect a