

January 10, 1986

to make probably what would be a momentous decision and they don't have all the facts. We just had a big fight over the number of bills and the rules changes, and we are going to probably have one over changing of all the committees. So I would suggest at this time that we do not adopt this rule change and maybe consider it next year. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Further discussion on the proposal? Senator Vickers, you may close, as agreement between you and Senator Morehead.

SENATOR VICKERS: If I may have just a few minutes and then I'll give the remainder of my time to Senator Morehead. I would like to respond briefly to Senator Haberman's comments. I would just remind you of what happened with the Commonwealth Committee, the Special Commonwealth Committee that we have a specific rule to create and to allow to introduce legislation. If Senator Haberman would remember, as a member of the Banking Committee, many of the members of the Special Commonwealth Committee were actually also members of the Banking Committee. And if I recall correctly, I think the legislation introduced by the Special Commonwealth Committee was referred to the Banking Committee and the Banking Committee dealt with that issue. I guess I have a hard time understanding Senator Haberman's objections because I am not suggesting that we create a mechanism whereby a special committee can hold hearings and have bills referenced to it creating a new committee, if you will. This is not to undermine the Standing Committee at all. It is simply to clarify in the rules that if there is a unique special committee created, we then do not have to have the specific rule that we did with the Commonwealth Committee. I can honestly tell you the bills that the LR 267 committee are going to introduce have already been signed by all the members of that committee. Since we don't have individual bill limitations, after yesterday's discussion, the bills are going to be here anyway and they will be referred to probably the Education Committee. But I just believe that because of the unique circumstances that have to be there to create a special committee, to have the Executive Board to create the special committee, that these bills then had ought to have the extra little oomph, if you will, of being introduced as a committee bill rather than signed by seven or eight individual members of the Legislature. That is the proposal before you. I ask for the adoption of this rules