

January 9, 1986

Senator Lynch has said. I see this to me, I guess, coming from where my background, and so forth, is an education process because last year into this body were 10 new people out of the 49, so that is a big turnover and I see that maybe in the future we won't face this money, tight money problem but I see the idea of understanding how the state spends money is very, very important and I think when we look at the budget I think what the Appropriations Committee looks at is both the money that is raised from taxes and also the money that comes from the cash fund. So I see this as an educational process that new members like myself and Senator Lynch and other people who were new last year can be educated about the budget process, and so forth. And so I think that at the end of the session I felt, as a member of this body, we were making decisions especially when we were making selective cuts that we were making decisions without full knowledge and so I think that we are simply allowing the whole body or parts of the body to deal with particular parts of the budget that deals with their particular thing, like the Public Works would deal with the roads and the education department would deal with the education department. So I think it is...I see it more as an educational process.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Haberman, please.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President and members of the body, I am sure that Senator Lynch and Senator Hartnett are casting no reflections against the work of the Appropriations Committee and I am not either. But I think this is a fine rule change. It is going to mean more time for some senators but those senators who are interested, those senators who are interested in going to that committee meeting and hearing about the budget, it is their time that they want to give it, I think it is great. Those who don't have the interest or who fully understand the proposal or the budget or the change, or whatever, they don't have to go. But, at least, the right is there to have this type of review and it would be, quite frankly, helpful to me in many cases and I have been here longer than those two gentlemen. So I would support this rule change. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Warner, please, then Senator Higgins.