

January 9, 1986

offensive, why are these rule changes only for one year? Why just this year? Why not make them forever and ever? So, therefore, they must not be that important. We just want to do it this year. And you can stand up and say due to the economy or due to this or due to that, we are going to just do it for this one year, but the argument says that it was even said this would stop some members from falling asleep during a public hearing. Now if that isn't painting your own black image in the Legislature, I don't know what is because I haven't seen any members fall asleep in any of the committees that I am on. If there is somebody who wants to take a nap, the least they do is they leave the room and go slip out on a couch and take a nap, but stand up on this floor and to say this will prevent somebody from falling asleep in a public hearing, what kind of an image are we trying to paint of ourselves. So I cannot support this and I won't support it. I don't see the reasoning here or the rationale behind it. We are not trying to put a limit on the number of bills that the Governor can introduce. We are not trying to put handicaps on anybody else but this body, and why should we penalize this body who is more responsive than even the Governor because we have got to go home and face the people face to face every day we are home, up and down the street, every town I have got, every county. So why should we handcuff ourselves. I don't think we should. The system has worked, is working, and as far as I am concerned let's just leave it the way it is. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Mr. Clerk, you have an amendment, I understand.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator DeCamp would move to amend Senator Johnson's proposal. (Read DeCamp amendment as found on page 206 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, I am one of those that believes that if somebody has worked hard on something, usually the truth lies somewhere in the middle and probably you should try to find some middle ground. What Senator Vard Johnson is proposing in number three, four, and five is essentially what the Banking Committee has been doing for years and, of course, which I get condemned for every once in awhile because they say, well, that is too much power to