

Senator Barrett.

SENATOR BARRETT: Certainly.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Barrett, would I be correct if I assumed that this action has no constitutional basis for the Legislature? You mentioned statutory but constitutionally I understand it doesn't make any difference.

SENATOR BARRETT: I believe that is correct, Senator Warner. This is a statutory effort.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The question before you is the adoption of LR 297. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Please vote, if you care to, on the resolution 297. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of 297, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: LR 297 is adopted. LR 300.

CLERK: 300 was offered by Senators Scofield, Sieck, Rupp, it is found on page 2655.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Did you say you had an amendment to it? Okay, Senator Scofield, did you wish to present your amendment...your motion? Your resolution first.

SENATOR SCOFIELD: Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you. The reason that I bring you this particular resolution is because we had some discussion here the last few days about the litter tax and effective use of it and this proposed resolution would simply change the percentages that we allocate to the various areas. Particularly the area that I was concerned about was increasing the percentage that we allocate for clean up. Even though, as I expressed on the floor the other day, with the program, I'm not completely dissatisfied with the program but we do have a serious problem out there with rural roads and I don't feel the money at this point has been channeled to the extent that it should be to address that problem. So my amendment, or my resolution simply shuffles the allocation funds, removing a percentage from the education portion of that and shifts it into allocating 30 percent of those roads funds for cleanup. Since that time Senator Wesely has visited with me and has talked about some ideas that he has in the realm of