

PRESIDENT: The amendment is not adopted. The other paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of the Labeledz amendment will now be considered. Senator Labeledz.

SENATOR LABEDZ: I don't want to take any more time. I explained what the amendment does. I move for the adoption of the first part of the amendment which is striking the committee amendments.

PRESIDENT: Striking the committee amendments. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: (No response).

PRESIDENT: Senator Vard Johnson.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: (No response).

PRESIDENT: Anybody wish to speak on this motion? Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I've served on the Judiciary Committee and have looked at numerous bills designed to make it as difficult as possible for a woman to obtain an abortion. When this proposed legislation was first brought to us we were told, or it was represented to us that it was language taken from a Supreme Court decision...

PRESIDENT: Call of the house is raised.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...upholding a proposition from another city. So as I begin to ask questions, they said, well its substantially the same, then we found out that it is really not the same, they have put far more in this requirement than that Supreme Court decision dealt with. So what the committee amendment did, was to allow the particular risks associated with abortion procedures that will be employed in this particular woman's case, to be articulated. But then all of this other stuff about indicating that the person providing the information is to be trained and so forth is totally superfluous and a waste of time because any person who works for a physician or for anybody else for that matter, makes the master liable for the deeds of the servant done pursuant to the orders and instructions of the master.