

very good representation from these organizations. As a whole both organizations have backed the bill. They do not want this amendment put on it. We all realize and we mentioned before this body taxes a lot of people a lot of us different ways. We don't have the opportunity for refund. Also the two groups...there would be a unanimous vote, practically, to go on with a program like the original bill stated. I would hope that you would vote no on this amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Rod Johnson, did you wish to speak? Is there further discussion on the Warner-Vickers amendment? If not, Senator Warner, do you wish to close or, Senator Vickers? However you want to handle it. Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President and members, first of all I would like to correct something my good friend Senator Pappas indicated and that was the Department of Education's folks were appointed by the Governor and Senator Pappas I'm sure your misspoke yourself because everybody knows that the State Board of Education is an elected position. As a matter of fact it is a Constitutionally elected position. I don't think anybody...leave a matter of record that they are appointed. It is a simple matter of philosophy. What you have before you is a simple question of philosophy and that is, do you really think that the right to work law in this state which says you don't have to belong and pay dues to a union in order to perform the duties of that union, if you think that is a good idea. Then it seems to me that you ought to make that same application to those folks involved in agriculture. You ought to do the same thing for those people raising corn or wheat. At least up to the percentage we are suggesting. We are not suggesting that they be freeloaders. Senator Pappas said that the boards would have a difficult time in figuring out how much they had used as a percentage to influence legislation. They better not have too difficult of a time, Senator Pappas, because the bill itself limits them to no more than 25 percent so if they are going to follow the law, they better know what they are using. They certainly ought to know how much they collect from each individual. I think it is rather strange that the two people who got up and opposed this are both active members of the pork producers association, which has always been a voluntary organization. I think that is rather strange, that these two members of this body, who know that it can work voluntarily, that has demonstrated that it can