

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, just very briefly. Since Monday is the day when amending can occur, I am certain that an effort will be made to do it Monday, so while we are in the midst of it and the discussions are occurring, we may as well complete the day with whatever is up there now. So I would be opposed to the bracketing motion.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp, do you have anything to say on the motion to bracket?

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, I can certainly understand the frustration of those who thought they had an understanding yesterday. And the reason we brought up the mass transit was because that was one of the few things that hadn't had its shot, hadn't had its vote on the floor. The other things, we did as many as two and three times on some of them. And there are a number that feel that if you pull out the string, the whole thing starts to fold. And the bracketing motion is nothing more than an attempt to I guess force us to live up to that understanding that the advocacy agencies had had their day in court. Now I don't think anybody questions the value of the agencies as they existed for a variety of reasons both on merit and because it is a part of this entire deal. It was agreed that they would be terminated and that decision was reviewed two or three times, as I say, by the Legislature. So to the degree that...to the degree that additional motions or methods are necessary to try to keep the bill in its present form, I can understand why Senator Lamb and a number of others would take that position. So unless somebody comes up with a better idea, I will probably support the bracketing motion.

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner, do you wish to speak on the bracketing motion?

SENATOR WARNER: Well, Mr. President, I would be tempted to support the bracketing motion. I rather suspect that if we argue today, the rest of the day, and no amendments are successful, we will argue all day Monday too, in any event with or without a bracket. So I suppose the issue is whether we are going to argue two days or one. I do want to comment on the conversation around this area yesterday. I just want to say that my understanding of the discussion was that if the three items went, why there would probably be no