

May 31, 1985

LB 724

idea, I guess, that the Supreme Court decides well we might as well settle and we don't have to go to court, don't have to do this. They've got an increase in salary. They've got an increase in staff. We've given them everything they wanted. I feel that they should take these state issues and they should fight for the state. Quite frankly I am very disappointed. I just wanted to bring to your attention this is what is happening on these two cases that you should know. For \$65,000 and \$465,000 I think that the two are worth fighting for because that is a half a million bucks. So I've had my say. Thank you very much, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Speaker Nichol, incidentally that first amendment I withdrew, if I could go back to it, it was a technical amendment and I thought it was incorporated in the second one.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Very fine, did you want to...

SENATOR WARNER: Without confusing things, if we could just go back and adopt it then things will be drafted in proper sequence. This amendment just changes the names on who the claim goes to to be properly drafted.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Then you want to go back to your first amendment now?

SENATOR WARNER: This is pure technical right now. I'm sorry to mislead anybody, but it was...I thought it was all inclusive and I didn't realize it.

SPEAKER NICHOL: All right, very good. Senator Haberman, did you wish to speak to his first...

SENATOR WARNER: This one is all right.

SPEAKER NICHOL: All right. Any further discussion? If not, the question is the adoption of the Warner first amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Please vote on the Warner first amendment, or the first Warner amendment. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of Senator Warner's first amendment to the bill.

6589