

PRESIDENT: If we're not any farther along than we are now, that certainly will be.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Thank you.

PRESIDENT: The next light on for recognition is Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, if the bill is bracketed to June 10th and we leave this evening, when we come back we still have three days and that's enough time to do anything we want to do with a budget bill. There is nobody who can guarantee that we're going to pass anything in a given form as of right now. We can hazard guesses as to what the final form of the budget bill will take, but I'm pretty sure that before the Legislature adjourns sine die, whenever that occurs, a budget bill will be passed. In fact, I know that it will and if John DeCamp was in here, I'd win back my \$50.00 if he would take me up on it. Bet, John? But at any rate, we won't have to extend the session beyond the 90 days. We will have time to deal with the budget bill and groups can get together and do some real hard bargaining and when we come back we can deal with LB 722 in the form that it was before the original mistake was made of turning the Budget Committee loose and saying do whatever you want to and giving them the impression that what they came back with would be automatically accepted. I mentioned that I was not a party to that agreement. I didn't vote to adjourn for those five days or whatever the amount of time was. I didn't vote at all. I wasn't even here when the discussion occurred so I'm not bound by that and even if I had voted, then I'm one of those people who can be shown a point and if I made a mistake, then I just change the position that I took, but I explain why. There was an insurance measure before us and I took a position without full information. Senator Higgins stood up and enlightened me and she swung me all the way around and I was the opposite to what I had been originally because she informed my mind. That made me form a new judgment and as a result of that I had to take a different position which was diametrically opposed to the first one I took. There is no advantage to remaining in ignorance if enlightenment is available. There is no gain in not telling the truth if you know what the truth is. There is a possibility of being genuinely mistaken and I