

form.

CLERK: Except for the first set of Appropriations Committee amendments that were adopted last week, Senator.

SENATOR LABEDZ: On General File.

CLERK: It was on Select File, but they were adopted last week, yes.

SENATOR LABEDZ: I can't recall what they were.

CLERK: It was approximately \$10 million. Correct me if I'm wrong, Senator.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Senator Warner, your second cut of amendments...I mean your second cut after we adjourned for five days is on the Beutler amendment, isn't it?

SENATOR WARNER: Which is not adopted.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Which has not been adopted. So the 5 days that you worked to get those cuts in there, if we go with the original 722, that 5 days work means nothing. Everything will revert back to what you had in the original cuts.

SENATOR WARNER: Under the circumstances, Senator Labeledz, so I stay at an informational basis, the bill, as it would stand, as I understand it, would be, and I can't remember the one amendment that was adopted earlier on, but the committee amendments that were adopted last week totaled about \$6.9 million in this bill, not counting the unborn ADC program because that is funded depending on LB 31.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Sure.

SENATOR WARNER: That would be the status, plus I think there are one or two other minor amendments that were adopted earlier on Select File. That would be the status of the bill.

SENATOR LABEDZ: So if we go with Senator DeCamp, we put in back all the commissions because they are on the Beutler amendment.