

is going to happen.

PRESIDENT: Senator Withem, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR WITHEM: Merely a point of clarification. I was interested in Senator Labedz's characterization of the manner in which the bill will be in if this amendment...this motion is adopted. I ask the Clerk, though, I think it would be valuable to the body if you would tell us in what form will 722 be in if this suspension of the rules is adopted, as reported from the committee, as amended by the initial cuts.

PRESIDENT: The Clerk will explain what situation we are in.

CLERK: Senator, as I understand it the bill would be inclusive of all amendments that have been adopted to date, except for the amendments that were proposed and accepted on the Beutler amendment because the Beutler amendment has yet to be adopted. So the first series of Appropriations Committee recommendations would be included.

SENATOR WITHEM: Okay.

PRESIDENT: The question is, shall the Chair be overruled? Senator.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Point of clarification.

PRESIDENT: Yes, Senator.

SENATOR LABEDZ: The way I understand it, the second set of cuts by the Appropriations Committee is in the Beutler amendment.

CLERK: That's true, but the Beutler amendment has not been adopted.

SENATOR LABEDZ: That's right. So we would be going back to LB 722 without the cuts that the Appropriations Committee did after we adjourned for five days. That will not be in the bill.

CLERK: That is correct.

SENATOR LABEDZ: So it will practically be in General File