

PRESIDENT: There is a minute and a half left, Senator.

SENATOR DEKAMP: Minute and a half. See, you still got that minute...in LB 31, like I told her I intend to stick with her on that one. I don't know whether it is \$400,000 or something. But I assume others do too. I think that is a secondary issue to a degree.

PRESIDENT: Senator Labedz.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Senator DeCamp. I can recall when we returned here on Wednesday Senator Beutler had an amendment up there with a two percent across the board cut. John stood up and begged us to vote for Senator Warner's amendment and the appropriation cuts because he said it was the right thing to do. And we haven't done that yet. So when you vote with Senator DeCamp's motion you are saying that all that work that the Appropriations Committee did, and many of us stood up here and said we commend them for what they've done, will not be in LB 722. The only thing that will be in there is the original cuts. And the only thing that is on the Beutler amendment now is the appropriation cuts, the unborn children and Senator Vickers' amendment. That is the only three things that are on that amendment. If you go with Senator DeCamp, you are sending LB 722 to the Governor with just the original cuts, which may call for an increase...

PRESIDENT: Half minute.

SENATOR LABEDZ: ...increase in the sales or...in income tax, or we go on with this and send him the Beutler amendment which has the second cuts. Let's go on with these other cuts. I notice in some of the amendments that are up they want to further cut some of the programs. So I am just a little bit leery about sending LB 722 to the Governor as it was originally, without the Warner amendment on there to the Beutler amendment which included the second cuts. There are some that we're trying to put back in. They are all good amendments. Some of them...I voted against some of them, and voted for most of them.

PRESIDENT: Your time is expiring.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you. I just wanted them to know what