

tyrannizing the majority, quite clearly. I am simply trying to break through what has been a clear pattern. That clear pattern is that my very good friend... Senator Chambers, I'm working on it, I'm working on it.

PRESIDENT: What's his name.

SENATOR DECAMP: Senator Chambers said I'm going to have every priority motion up there. He went and told Senator Nichol that, didn't he? Yes, see, he shakes his head yes, he agrees. You can say, well, we've got to work through the process. That is the destruction of the process and he legitimately has a right to do it under our system of rules because we have no system, no rules to address this situation. So at some point I think you have to look at the bigger picture. The bigger picture is there are rules that say we have to have a budget done by a certain time. There are constitutional provisions that say at 90 days it comes to an end. There are rules that say because you created all these agencies of government now you've got to fund them. That is what a budget is all about. Take into consideration those rules too. Senator Hannibal, I respect your opinion on the process. But at what point does the process yield to the responsibility to get something done when the process no longer is functioning? It worked pretty good on the first budget cuts. I'm not sure but what really, if we are going to go through the process, the real process, the real process says go back to square one on all those major things you want to do, or let the Governor veto them. Go back to square one and have all the public hearings, go through the whole process. Maybe that is the process, and maybe by short circuiting it that is why we are here. So, if somebody has got a better idea, fine. I don't. But I told Senator Nichol and others that I thought we should make some attempt, some time today, to bring this to a head. This is one way to do it. I repeat, if somebody has got a better idea, tell me about it. With respect to Senator Bernice's problem, the one cut that was done, \$600,000 or whatever, wouldn't be included because I am not, with this motion, I am not adopting the Beutler amendment which is the Appropriations Committee amendments. I'm saying vote on sending the bill to the Governor, send it over, just put it on Final Reading and then let happen what will happen there, but send it to the Governor if he wants to veto this thing. The other \$400,000 or whatever it is that is in LB, I think 31,...