

individual requests to take this on a division of the question. I have some real concerns with the Beutler proposal or the...and one of my concerns is the Med Center. During the regular appropriation bill we had cut the Med Center \$1,300,000 approximately, which is a tremendous cut. The Beutler amendment adds another \$332,000, correction, yes, \$332,000 on top where they are now planning to close programs at the Med Center. That is how well this was thought out. Now if you don't like what we did, vote it down. Vote on it. If you don't like it, then my position is the one I told you earlier, I am going to stay with the original committee reduction of \$9 million that has been adopted and I am going to support an adjustment in the tax rate. And those of you who want to destroy programs without knowing what you are doing, let the blood be on your hands. We tried hard to structure something so you could consider it. We never said you had to take it. We tried to make it balanced. I agree there are some things that I don't like in it, as everybody else, but at least we tried to give you a criteria by which we arrived at it and the number one thing was an attempt to reduce the scope of government. That is where this all started. Now we are going to roll back to I think it is fair to say, Senator Beutler, a bare accumulation of figures adjusted by some of you here on the floor to come up with some other option that I don't know and I doubt if anybody really knows the impact of. But I will tell you on the Med Center we have done enough damage to them now and another \$332,000 is inexcusable, whether it comes from the Governor's office or not. If you don't like what we did, suspend the rules, vote it down because we have performed our duty that we said we would do.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Well, Mr. President, members of the Legislature, we are going around and around in circles here, not getting much done. I don't know what these cuts are. I don't think most people on the floor of the Legislature know what they are. We have not had an opportunity to vote on the adoption, the final adoption of the Appropriations Committee recommendations. I think at this point we should go with the committee recommendations. We have had all these agencies before us today. We voted on each one of them separately. Each one of them has been voted down. So I guess I fail to see how we now have a new...a constructive amendment before us. I would give the rest of my time to