

SENATOR HABERMAN: I call the question, Mr. Speaker.

SENATOR LAMB: Do I see five seconds to call the question? Yes, I see those. The motion is to cease debate. Those in support vote aye, those opposed vote no. Record, Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

SENATOR LAMB: Debate has ceased. Senator Labeledz to close.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Mr. President. Many of you that I have talked to are concerned that there are so many women that are on ADC for an unborn child. I got the statistics as of May 30, 1985. Now this is monthly, for the month of May there was 473 total unborn children that were on ADC, 473 for the month of May. Also I did get some other information from them. I think I used this on LB 31, in July 1983 there were 473 monthly average of unborn recipients. In July 1984 that dropped down to 410. Now the average for January, February, March and April of 1985 there are an average of 391. That covers 93 counties. Out of those 391, I want you to sit back and think how many of those women decided to carry their child through the full term because they did get help. They did not sit there for weeks and wonder, what am I going to do if I don't have the money when I have to quit my job? And that is another thing I would like to tell you. We find that a lot of these women will work as long as they can before they quit their job and immediately after the baby is born they try to go back to work if they keep their child. If they put it up for adoption, that is great too. But many of them go immediately back to work after the baby is born and they are taken off the ADC rolls. Sure there are some that are on ADC that have more than one child, but let's don't take it out on the children because of the mother. Let's remember that they are children and many other programs that we decided to cut out entirely involved children. Some of the things that I read you on the Nebraska Arts Council, I have a list here of other cuts that could be made including a half percent across the board cut. That is not asking for too much, or even a one percent, or eliminate the support for agricultural statistics, \$113,000. We give \$679,000 to the NRDs. We give military tuition, \$606,000; watershed planning, and that may be a duplication with the federal