

that woman have her child and let her either raise that child, in 9 cases out of 10, she will have the child and give it up for adoption, especially the unwed mothers. There are many of those. We take them to the Christ Child Savings Institute. They are put up for adoption but at least that woman was helped. She didn't have to go through the trauma of an abortion. She had her child. In some cases she will raise it herself. In other cases she will not and give it up for adoption. I urge you to support this amendment and give the unborn child and the pregnant woman a chance for life. Thank you.

SENATOR LAMB: The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I would like to ask Senator Labedz a question. Senator Labedz...

SENATOR LABEDZ: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...what is the number of your bill that deals with giving women who are contemplating an abortion information relative to potential hazards?

SENATOR LABEDZ: LB 653, I think.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And do you know where that bill is now?

SENATOR LABEDZ: It is on Select File.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Did it get there by a 25 vote?

SENATOR LABEDZ: Yes, it did.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. This seems to be somewhat inconsistent, too. I am wondering if all those votes that apparently were antiabortion were really just for show. It was easy to give the votes, Senator Labedz, because they were going along with the group that was making the most public outcry on their particular side of the issue, but when time comes to cast a vote for a program that would show that the vote against abortions really had some meaning, they vote against that program. I think what Senator Labedz did in reading Senator Fowler's words was very significant because there are two very distinct schools of thought on the issue of abortion. Some people feel at one side there