

of those advocacies. 116,000 aid to COSG, those are Councils of State Governments, that is abolished. We added 10,800 simple cut which is staff for Victim Witness Centers which should be eliminated if that remains. The aid remains eliminated as it currently stands. We also took a new one, eliminate the Crime Victims Reparations Board. It has great potential for growth. It was felt that it was inappropriate in the case of the University and the state colleges. We did a fairly consistent thing in that we are identifying those funds, general funds spent for intercollegiate athletics. We took half of the money on the assumption that those kind of reductions are phased in over a two year period. It is about a million dollars as far as the University is concerned, all campuses, and about \$303,000 for all campuses at the state colleges. Then there other reductions which are not in this bill that are identified and I can touch on when those bills come up, but they are reductions in capital construction. I'll be glad to respond to any questions.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Wesely, would you like to finish the use of your time?

SENATOR WESELY: Yes. Mr. Speaker, members, I would rise in support of the Appropriations Committee package. I have great objection to individual items in the package, there are seven items in particular which I cannot feel that I can support in any way, shape or form. Another set of items which I do not support, but which under the circumstances, I feel I have no alternative. But I think I would rather deal with the Appropriations Committee package as a base from which we decide what action to take with the budget versus an across the board budget cut as proposed by Senator Beutler et al. It seems to me that the better approach is to look at individual items and to look at the specific sort of cuts that the Appropriations Committee is dealing with. They are painful, they are something that we don't want to do, but at the same time, we see what we are dealing with. We know specifically what we are doing and we know exactly who is going to be hurt by these different cuts and that is a different situation than when you have an across the board cut. Then you make the pain a little spread out. You are not sure who is going to be hurt and it is a lot easier to do that and I think that is why a lot of you have that appeal to that across the board cut. But I think in the end, people are hurt just as much or more by an across the