

reach deep down inside of our shoe leather even and draw on something we ordinarily don't have to. But since these are unusual times, unusual tactics must be resorted to. And I intend, as I promised you, so you won't put me in the same category with that liar that I've been chastising who called and misled my good friend, Senator Nelson, in order that you won't call me a liar, I've got to deliver on what I promised. I promised you that the programs I thought were of value I would fight for. I promised that I would try to bring some kind of justice and equity out of this whole process and as a step to accomplish the long-range goal, I have to take a short-term step which ordinarily I wouldn't. Down here the end justifies the means. That means if the end is desirable and good, whatever you do to get to that end becomes desirable and good simply because the end is desirable and good. That's worse than utilitarianism, but nevertheless, it is the guiding principle for most of what happens down here.

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: When you talk about compromise...I've talked nine minutes already? I'm getting the high sign that I have. It seems like I've only been here a few seconds, to me. Einstein, in trying to explain relativity, said that if a person is...this is old Einstein, that old guy with the shaggy walrus mustache and those deep-set vacant eyes that looked out and saw nothing, said...he was seeing more than people thought. If a person is in the presence of a desirable young woman, an hour seems like a second. On the other hand, if he is sitting on a hot stove, a second may seem like an hour. So I feel like I have only been talking a second. To the rest of you, not being a mind reader, I don't know what it seems like to you, but I intend to talk some more.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp, then Senator Wesely.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, I'm going to oppose Senator Chambers' amendment. Senator Chambers would strike one of the exclusions that I put in there originally. You may remember I mentioned the reason for those exclusions. The reason on this particular exclusion was it was in the group that are direct services, direct services to various people in the state and I felt legitimately they should be excluded. In this case, the exclusions dealt with the deaf,