

Senator Warner, is if only a portion of your Appropriations Committee amendment is adopted, if only five million is adopted, for example, then, the members of my group would feel that an additional 2 percent is appropriate and would want that. However, the fact that five million dollars worth was adopted may delete support for the 2 percent. Okay?

SENATOR WARNER: May lead support?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Delete. Dilute. Reduce.

SENATOR WARNER: It appears to be two totally different approaches. I'm...

SENATOR BEUTLER: I think they are complimentary, Senator Warner. We want to afford the Appropriations Committee the opportunity to put on this package and agree to the suspension of the rules to put it on as an amendment to the 2 percent, rather than the alternative which is letting it come on I suppose, 20 amendments down the line or whenever we get to it. It is an attempt to accommodate the Appropriations Committee, but at the same time, to preserve what we feel are other prerogatives.

SENATOR WARNER: I guess, Senator, so we can see how others, besides you and I feel I'll proceed with the rules suspension applying it equally to the appropriations package as to your package, either one of them has to go in total and cannot be broken down. Then we will have...and if neither one of those go, then we are in a position of dealing with things one by one.

SENATOR BEUTLER: So be it.

SENATOR WARNER: Okay.

PRESIDENT: Now then, Mr. Clerk, you have Senator Warner's motion to suspend certain rules. Would you want to clarify us on that.

CLERK: Mr. President, the motion that I had filed next to the Beutler amendment was a motion to suspend Rule 7, Section 3 (e), to permit consideration of the Appropriations Committee amendment to the pending Beutler amendment, and then the Beutler amendment to the pending Beutler amendment