

May 22, 1985

LB 600

won't hold you to it exactly.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: I believe that is correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So it is about \$300,000 in state money?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Yes, uh huh.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So this bill is going to cost the state some money?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Well, that is for the next fiscal year, not this coming fiscal year.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But...

SENATOR HOAGLAND: It is for this coming fiscal year in the budget we have been discussing for several days now. We are talking \$7,500.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I know but if I owe you some money and I don't pay it all to you this year, I still owe you that money and I am going to have to pay you, otherwise I will be in default.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: And if we don't appropriate those funds, Senator Chambers, we will be penalized \$4.7 million.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I read a memo that was offered...thank you, Senator Hoagland.

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Members of the Legislature, if you read this memo from Terry Schmit of the Legal Division, they always say that failure to do such and such "could result". They don't say that the federal government has said that money will be taken. It could be. And that is what they always say when they want to force the state to do something. You may lose this, you may lose that. What I had asked for was a copy of the federal provision that said if a state Legislature does not enact this bill this year in this form, the state will lose this federal money and nothing has been given. The most we got was a memorandum from a person in the Legal Division saying that the state could lose money. But the proof that we don't need to enact