

don't like to see an across the board cut in the budget. That's certainly poor planning, but if we can't do it any other way, we need to do it this way. And I predict next fall when we come back here for a special session if we do, we'll be back here cutting the budget even further. We'll be reducing the budget again because agriculture is really suffering. All our rural areas are suffering. And so I ask you this morning to please, please accept the two percent budget cut.

PRESIDENT: Next on the main motion list is Senator Hannibal. Do you wish to speak? Senator Hannibal, main motion? All right. Senator Chizek, main motion. All right. Senator Hoagland, main motion.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: I call call the question on the Beutler amendment.

PRESIDENT: I'm going to pass over that opportunity at the present time because of a large number of people on the list and this is the first time today this main motion has itself been under discussion. Next is Senator Haberman. Do you wish to speak? Senator Beutler. Senator Beutler, do you wish to speak on the main motion at this time? All right. Senator Withem. No? Senator Warner, Senator Jerome Warner, Chairman of the Appropriations Committee.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I've thought about the last two, three days quite a bit of time. I hear a lot of talk about responsibility. Responsibility is knowing what you do, the effect of what you're doing. Not knowing the effect is not responsible. It's been pointed out what will happen in the two year cycle, what you're building up for next year. I don't know if history repeats itself, it tends to. Went back the last five years. You know everything is being portrayed, you do this two percent and you will not be making a tax cut. That's the pitch. Well maybe it will happen. The last five years, '81, the projections that were available to the Legislature in every case now was upon adjournment, '81 actually we were \$39 million under; '81-82, \$70 million under; '82-83, \$36 million; '83-84, \$12 million to the good. However, it was assumed that \$15 million of bankery funds were going to occur that year which came the next year when we were \$63 million under projections. So without exception, without exception receipts have been