

think you'll find that there really isn't any justification. And as Senator Vickers has said before, he was giving advice, you know, however you decide to vote, be able to go back to your district and explain it and I think you have to, to yourself, explain these exclusions and I don't think, if you can't do that, and I don't think you can, we shouldn't have them in there. So I am asking you to take out the exclusions. If you take out the exclusions you put back about \$70 million more dollars in the budget cut and if you do that, to break even and stop a tax increase, you can deal with the 1.5 percent across the board cut instead of a two percent cut and the next amendment I have up there is to amend this to go to a 1.5 percent cut. You don't need to go to a two percent cut if you adopt this amendment and take these exclusions out of the bill and I think that's good. That's less of a burden on people and this way we spread that burden and cut the burden a little bit and I suggest that is the fair way to go. So I'm asking your support for this amendment.

PRESIDENT: The Chair has rather confused lights on up here. You may want to speak on the main motion or this one. Before I do that let me introduce, I see Senator Goll standing back there and he has turned lucky again. Senator Goll has a guest under the south balcony who is Miss Cyndi Freymuller. She is the 1985 Nebraska Junior Miss accompanied by her parents, Mr. and Mrs. Freymuller from Blair, Nebraska. We're very glad to have you in the Legislature this morning. Welcome. Stand and be recognized please. Senator Goll, do you wish to speak on this amendment, the Wesely amendment? You'll be asked again on the next go around. Senator Abboud, do you wish to speak on the Wesely amendment? Senator Beutler. Okay, Senator Beutler on the Wesely amendment to the Beutler amendment. May we have order in the Chamber, please. (Gavel.) Senator Wesely, I mean Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I once again would oppose further amendments to this particular amendment and once again it seems to me to be more true than ever that what is happening here is a diversion tactic. This is not, in my opinion, a serious amendment. That is not say that you cannot seriously question what exclusions should be there or should not be there. In fact we could discuss that ad nauseum for the next couple of days. I respect Senator DeCamp. I respect