

to see what happened with other things. I think that the amendment in this form would have support. I hate to make this kind of argument, but I think that at this point in time the important thing is to maintain the focus. The effort today on the part of those who do not want to cut the expenditures will be to confuse you with dozens of little amendments questioning this thing and that thing. The tactic will be diversion and confusion and throughout that all, if you are philosophically sound, if what you want philosophically is not to increase the tax rates, then you must keep a clear vision. You must keep a clear vision and after the cut is made then listen to those who will bring back around again, if they are honest in their representation, those things that in addition that they would like to see. But first of all put the shoe on the other foot, put the shoe on the other foot. Get us down to where we are not increasing the tax rate and then let the arguments be made that we should do something that would have the effect of increasing the tax rates or that we can do something additional and it will not have the effect of increasing the tax rates. We need to get the shoe on the other foot. Thank you.

SENATOR LAMB: The motion is the adoption of the Beutler amendment to the Beutler amendment. Those in support vote aye, those opposed vote no.

CLERK: Senator Lamb voting yes.

SENATOR LAMB: Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 29 ayes, 14 nays on adoption of Senator Beutler's amendment to the Beutler amendment, Mr. President.

SENATOR LAMB: The amendment is adopted. Now we will go to the Beutler amendment. We have other amendments to the Beutler amendment.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator DeCamp would move to amend the Beutler amendment. (DeCamp amendment appears on page 2412 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR LAMB: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, let me start by saying if