

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes, I do. I appreciate your remarks and I think that would make better sense.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Pardon me.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I think what you are suggesting would make better sense than what will happen if your ruling is upheld.

SPEAKER NICHOL: So that when an amendment is being discussed, if one wishes to preclude any further amendments to that amendment, then a motion of this nature should be filed with the Clerk, right?

SENATOR BEUTLER: I suppose that could be done, yes.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Even though you wouldn't know at the time whether or not some further motion...(interruption).

SENATOR BEUTLER: That's right. It is just like filing a motion to suspend the rules and vote on a bill. I suppose you don't always know if there are further amendments coming.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: But you would do it in that eventuality.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay. Let me think about that. Senator Chambers, do you see any...Senator Chambers, do you see anything wrong with...do you see anything wrong if I should rescind my ruling to say that each time we wish to stop an amendment to an amendment, then a motion like this would have to be filed after the amendment is filed, period?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, I realize you have a difficult issue to deal with and you are receiving advice from all quarters. All I want to know is what the ruling is. That is all that I want to know. If you rule that what Senator Hannibal did is proper, then it is proper for everybody. And I would just advise people to get every amendment they want to offer up there, but it is not going to make any difference because my motion will be attached to every amendment up there so they better get their amendments and their amendments to the amendments up there. All I want to know is what the ruling is.