

Hoagland, please hit your button. Senator Carson Rogers, your button, please. I believe we are all present and accounted for. Proceed, Mr. Clerk, with the roll call.

CLERK: (Read the roll call vote as found on pages 2433-34 of the Legislative Journal.) 24 ayes, 22 nays, Mr. President.

SENATOR BARRETT: The bill is not returned. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have a second motion on the bill. Senator Warner would move to return the bill for purposes of striking the enacting clause.

SENATOR BARRETT: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, we have a lot of fun and games I guess with this bill. The original amendment was opposed on the basis of revenue need. If you pass the bill, you are cutting state receipts \$600,000. That \$600,000 can make up, I can think of a couple of things, I can think of one amendment that I spoke for that cut \$600,000 and I am not at all comfortable about that amendment on 722. I am not at all comfortable about LB 31. But it has gotten down to where we are digging each other, giggling each other, and that is all right. We don't need this bill. The tracks don't need it. \$300,000 to Fonner, \$300,000 to Ak-Sar-Ben, obviously, is no big deal. It hurts Atokad. I don't doubt that. It hurts Columbus. But the facts are Ak-Sar-Ben subsidizes them now so they can subsidize them a little bit more. That takes care of that problem. We need the money. The original amendment had some merit because that helped the horsemen. It didn't have anything to...it has an impact on the tracks, I don't deny that. It is primarily the horsemen that wanted it. But I would suggest that we just indefinitely postpone the bill and be done with it, collect the revenue, keep it. We don't need...I can think of two or three other things. LB 83 doesn't need to be raised. That is \$600,000. Save doing that. It probably wouldn't go anyway. So I think the best thing to do is to move to return the bill, indefinitely postpone it and then follow suit with what others have suggested. Study it over the summer, see what the real impact might be and let Ak-Sar-Ben subsidize the other two tracks that have problems which they can do. They are doing it now. So there is no need for the bill and I would urge