

don't have a strong feeling about whether you do this one way or the other. The difference between doing it one way and the other is about \$2.5 million. But probably what you are doing with regard to a number of those items is just forcing the Appropriations Committee, in all probability, to come back in and add them back in, probably with almost all of them. If you want to go through that process, that is fair enough. If you don't want to go through that process, then you should just reject Senator Chambers' amendment. But one thing that I have not agreed with Senator DeCamp on, and he keeps saying this to you, over and over again, take note, please, he keeps saying, well, this is not the best way to do it, but if you want to do it, okay. Then after that he always says, but if you don't do it this way, you'll never get it done on individual cuts, you'll never get it done that way, I've been here too long, I know you can't get it done that way; creating that psychology in your mind that this is your last chance, and then after that there is no other chance, there is nothing to talk about. He wants to tell you that because there is another chance, there is another package back there. But, you see, the University doesn't like that package very much and John has been talking to them. I don't like that part of the package very much either. But everybody has to give up something, otherwise we are back into the old trading up game and increasing taxes, and increasing expenditures. Well, I'm getting off the point. I just wanted to encourage you to keep your minds on the main point. Do what you think is right on Ernie's amendment, but when we get back to the main point let's get back on the track again.

PRESIDENT: Senator Wesely. Senator Wesely, we are on the Chambers amendment. Is Senator Wesely not available at the moment? Senator Chambers, you can speak again.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I've got to tell, again, what the purpose of this amendment is. I think each exclusion ought to be justified on its merits. When Senator DeCamp starts a presentation he will give a buzz word at the beginning which is to set your mind in a certain direction on all of the rest of what is to follow, and he hopes that you won't pay attention to the rest of it. He said some things are constitutionally required. Maybe so. But he hastened to add not all of them. Some are based on history, and then he kind of mumbled through some things. He's learned from