

touched on the small agency versus the large agency. The small agency, for example, that 3 percent cut in general funds could mean a tremendous difficult situation for a small agency that depends heavily on cash funds or federal funds, but we're in effect giving them a 3 percent cut also in the general funds if they get what general funds they do get and consequently, for example, some agencies where a salary of a person is the only thing, the director will say, is the only thing that is funded from the general fund and here you're cutting a man's salary or a woman's salary that is already set. Consequently, doing a cut like this can wreak havoc in some of the agencies when you don't plan it such as the Budget Committee goes through. We've been through this budget five different times before we could get all the bases covered and all the effects of the cuts that we were making out of those agencies and what effect did it have on the agency and how do we correct any gross injustices with a planned reduction. And that's what we went through this budget five times to get done and I would suggest that doing this straight across the board type of cut is just plain the wrong way to do it. You've got to do it in such a way that you're not grossly affecting, you know, taking a person's salary...

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR GOODRICH: ...and cutting the salary of the person when you've already been working them at lower than private industry salaries, for example, to give a person an arbitrary cut like this in a salary just because it sounded good on the floor. It's just not the way to do it and a planned budgeting is the only way that you can get cuts like this made that do not wreak havoc on some of the agencies. I would oppose the proposal.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I would like to ask, I think...will Senator Hannibal I see, I was looking for Senator Warner, on that amendment that I was so upset about, what percentage cut was that in that ADC for the unborn? What percentage cut was that in that program? Do you know offhand?

SENATOR WARNER: That was substantial. \$600,000 out of \$1.3 million.