

on 1414. Mr. President, the next amendment I have to the bill is by the Appropriations Committee. This is request 1450, Senator.

PRESIDENT: Chairman Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, these are what we usually refer to as technical amendments. It doesn't change any general fund appropriation at any point. First amendment, there was a gift that the Department of Motor Vehicles received for some computer improvements during the last year. The amendment ensures that that gift money is reappropriated as it would not be completely utilized by June 30. Secondly, the amendment establishes the cash fund for day licensing. It reflects the passage of LB 130 in 1984 in which fees are collected, but there is not a cash fund for them to be deposited to and then dispersed from, so it is just a technical one there. Then there are two amendments affecting both the state colleges and the University of Nebraska, both of which deal with tuition remission. There was language placed in the appropriation bill which indicated that these tuition remissions were to be used for that purpose only. It's boiler plate language that is ordinarily put into any aid program and this strikes that language. Then there is another amendment that affects, I believe, only the University. There was language that indicated that in '85-86 fiscal year any utility savings that might be incurred would be utilized for fire and safety, but the amendment as written, I mean the bill as written included also the current '84-85 year which would not be appropriate. It was meant to be for next year only. And then there's an additional \$10,000 cash fund appropriation for the Historical Society for historical markers. Currently, these are roadside markers and this is authorization, no general fund involved but if local groups wish to erect such a marker for some historical recognition of an incident in that area, thereby providing the funds, why the marker can be, by the local group providing the funds, the marker could be erected. And then finally, the last amendment clarifies the premium rates for state employees' health insurance so that it is clear that the share that an employee contributes toward their health care will reflect the reduced cost of the cost containment features that were rebid in the original health insurance specifications. I'd move the adoption of all these amendments.