

an item for the ADC unemployed parent program which currently costs us about \$2.5 million, and that was considered for cutting and we...and it was not cut. An additional program, social services family support program for \$200,000 was on the list and it was not cut one penny. So a number of the social programs were saved from cuts this particular go-round. But the fact of the matter is, is if we hope to keep things in balance, if we hope to keep from raising taxes, that all parts of the budget are going to have to bear some portion of the loss and what is being asked here is not unreasonable, I don't think, in terms of taking a small portion of that \$24 million or so and asking that it be cut off at this particular point in time. I hope next year or the year after I can...I and others can vote to restore the program. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Haberman, did you wish to speak on these amendments? Senator Haberman. Senator Nelson.

SENATOR NELSON: Mr. Speaker, I had...so that I have this exactly straight in my mind. I think I do. If we...Senator Warner's amendment takes out \$600,000 now, adds back \$20,000 in LB 201. Am I correct?

SPEAKER NICHOL: I don't quite think so. Mr. Clerk, could we set that straight? Senator Nelson has a question. Would you restate that, Senator Nelson?

SENATOR NELSON: All right. Apparently the 201 has nothing...since Vard withdrew his amendments, it has nothing to do with this now.

SPEAKER NICHOL: That's right.

SENATOR NELSON: On LB 31 it simply takes out \$600,000 out of the initial funding. Am I correct?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Now I think you are correct. Mr. Clerk, isn't that correct? Senator Warner, isn't that correct? Yes, that's right.

SENATOR NELSON: Thank you a lot.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Yes.

CLERK: Thank you, Senator Warner.