

May 17, 1985

LB 31

difference is \$510,000. In other words, this bill, LB 31, if amended as Senator Warner suggests and as I have suggested, saves the state \$510,000 for the fiscal year that we are facing. For the next fiscal year, LB 31 still saves the state \$600,000. LB 201 costs the state \$450,000, thus there is still a net savings of \$150,000.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Now what does your amendment do?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Well, my amendment...my amendment is that amendment...LB 31 as conceived by Senator Warner would save the state \$600,000 in the first year and \$600,000 in the second year. My amendment will reduce the savings. It will save the state only \$510,000 the first year and \$150,000 the second year. My amendment makes this change so far as I am concerned in the ADC unborn program a palatable change.

SENATOR HABERMAN: So you are asking for how many thou...how many...you are reducing it how many thousand?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: I am reducing the effect of LB 31 by \$90,000 in the first year and the effect of LB 31 by \$450,000 in the second year.

SENATOR HABERMAN: So you are asking \$90,000 for your program...

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Yes, sir.

SENATOR HABERMAN: ...out of Senator Warner's program?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Yes, sir.

SENATOR HABERMAN: You...if we have a fight or anything like that, would you want to compromise, I mean, auction or go up or down or anything like that?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: I can try an auction.

SENATOR HABERMAN: No, I am just asking a question. I understand it. Thank you for your explanation.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Labeledz, did you wish on the amendment? Okay.