

Johnson's closing, on the motion to return, that I was just waiting for him to use the phrase "let them eat cake" because that was what I heard. Now tell me, tell me what employee with a family and a \$15,000 a year job is going to put it on the line. No one. Why would they? Why would you? Now I probably have more access to this kind of information and concerns than anybody in this room. A lot of times I can't do anything, and the reason I can't is because the unit is so small that there is no way for me to use it without that person being identified, so I let it go, or I try to find some route to get around, to develop the same information. I can remember...one of the reasons they do it with me is they know that I won't misuse it. I can remember one time, a few years ago, I went with some information, went public and it was dead wrong and I was in lots of trouble in the press for several days. The easy thing for me to have done was say, well, so and so told me that and, by golly, it was wrong, and it wasn't my fault. Well, I didn't say one word. I took every bit of the heat because I knew that that person, what he told me was in all honesty but it just happened to be in error, and I didn't take the time to make sure, but I knew their job was on the line and I figured it was better for me. But everybody in public office is not going to be willing to do that. I think a level of reasonable protection for people, and as I read this bill there is reasonable protection for the citizens. If you want to put Senator Beutler's amendment on it probably improves it. I didn't vote for it the first time. But I would hope that you would give some level of protection, more than what exists now, to individuals to be able to indicate where they feel there are concerns. Two nights ago I had a phone call. The person...just tell me, I knew them, but they didn't dare do that, so put it in an envelope and mail it to me. No. I won't know where it comes from, so at least I can check it out. Well, they didn't even want to do that because they said the unit is so small that we may well be identified because there is no way other than somebody within the unit could know. And it wasn't anything being crooked, wasn't any big, massive deal, I'm sure of that from the comments. It was some little things that probably could be done more efficiently, they thought. It was the kind of thing that might have created a problem. But I find access to that information useful if it is properly used. I see nothing in this bill that does all the things that some of you are concerned about. I am management oriented, I know that. In fact, other than