

little dismayed that Senator Warner and Senator Miller indicate that 204A is the vehicle to use for dealing with the operational funds for the University of Nebraska's budget and that is what this is. I think I heard Senator Warner saying that we had ought to use 204A for that purpose. I guess I am curious. Senator Warner, maybe you could enlighten me a little bit if you would. Can we use 204A as a vehicle to amend LB 722?

SENATOR WARNER: Sure.

SENATOR VICKERS: Can use any bill in here to amend LB 722.

SENATOR WARNER: Well, the reason you could without any problem, as I would understand, Senator Vickers, is as you indicated a number of times that the authorization in statute of \$139,988 to be spent is being repealed by 204...

SENATOR VICKERS: Yes.

SENATOR WARNER: So it is perfectly appropriate to use the A bill to reduce an appropriation to an agency where the legislation being considered or passed eliminates the authorization.

SENATOR VICKERS: Even though the funding is part of the operational costs of the agency which is what this is?

SENATOR WARNER: Well, if it is accurate, and I think it is, that the funding is only in the operating budget because of the section of law that you are repealing, then it is appropriate to remove or reduce the funding by virtue of the repeal of the authorizing expenditure. They are two different purposes. What is in the A bill now is the planning money for matching of the federal funds.

SENATOR VICKERS: Thank you. I am glad you made that point because I was going to. I want to stress that point that those of you who believe that we had ought to expend some money, as Senator Miller does, although I don't believe that, but those of you who believe that we had ought to expend some money in order to make certain that we can hang on to the federal funds in case we do ever, IF we ever, capital "I", capital "F", build any capital facilities for a college of veterinary medicine so we can help with federal dollars to build that, then 204A is the vehicle for that.