

had some time that I couldn't sleep last evening and I purposely took home all of these figures and so on, and I did spend considerable time, and I have again this morning, trying to figure out the formulas and they are really not complicated at all, if someone would just take the time to do it. I had some questions, and I had questions on irrigation rates. I had questions on power rates, on deep well, rainfall. To my knowledge and so on, I am quite well satisfied now in the values that they reached. As an example, and I will tell you in Hall County now, on the irrigated rate is a 11 percent cap, not the projected rate, they have ground at \$896 an acre. I have taken the time to try to find out some of the more recent sales, and what is wrong with the other formula is we really don't have enough sales to intelligently find out what the prices are. A lot of times it is such a variation where there is some neighbor or someone, or a business, or a city wants that ground. In one particular, one very close to me, in fact across the road, last winter sold for \$1130 an acre. Our land across the road, which will tell you, all of it is irrigated, gravity irrigated both places, is on the tax rolls for \$989 an acre. The new formula will be \$896 an acre. So I really can't see that there is that much variation, and the \$989 incidentally for ours, it would be a little less than that because there are some grain bins, in other words, some improvement on the ground. As to Senator Haberman's statement from their local ASCS Office that the wheat program was reverting back to 1977, I will have to suggest that the individual that gave him that information would probably be speaking not as an authority. The first place is we do not have a new wheat program so that is just second-guessing to maybe make a little problems and maybe not. The second place is, for the record, the ASC records are not kept beyond six years. They may be if they had a place to store them but there is no authority after that period of time. Another thing is I know I am having second thoughts or I did have second thoughts but we can nit pick this formula to pieces and I think that most all people when they really sit down to figure it out, it is going to be about as exact as we are going to come to, and I do support the LB 271 and I will stand behind it because I have done my homework. I am not one that is going to be accused of not doing it and, sure, there could be some problems. As to the assessor in Lincoln County afraid that it will turn into a big monopoly in Lincoln, I think that there are a lot of assessors and I am not accusing Lincoln County at all, I