

we got away with it one year because everybody turned their back and winked and nodded and said, all right, I guess, this is part of Amendment 4. But when faced with change, I see no reason why the next year or the year after or the year after won't prompt exactly the same amendment. Oh, well, let's just freeze the values for another year. Come on, you know, we don't want to get thrown in the briar patch, just let us nick along here with these old '84 values, and you know they are not '84 values, they are actually '80 to '84 values, and they come from 1979. So I would love to buy a shirt priced in 1979. I would love to buy some goods and services that were priced in 1979. What we have got here is 1978-79 values that are being frozen for in essence 1986. Now that seems a little much, particularly when I don't see any promise from anybody else saying, you know, I have got an answer. We should be throwing this out and I have got something that will replace it. All I get is, I don't know, I just haven't studied it well enough, I have got some unanswered questions. So be sure to go back to the frozen value of 1984. The point is we tried that once on a mechanism that froze the classification of the reimbursement of the personal property tax fund, and what happened? That struck down. Our methodologies were unconstitutional, couldn't do it, couldn't create frozen classifications. It was unconstitutional. Well, this first year snuck by but I promise you the second year won't sneak by, and it will be unconstitutional, and it probably will get taken to court. What happens if you do that? If you pass the amendment, you run the chance that somebody won't file a lawsuit and you get caught, and somebody says, no, John, Howard, Rex, we have got to live by the Constitution this year. Well, what is going to happen is you don't have LB 30 and you don't have LB 271, what have you got? You have got the '85 manual, the '85 manual which everybody ran down to the Secretary of State's Office and got petitions and said, please, don't make us live by the '85 manual. That is the one thing we don't want to have happen. The only thing that is in place should 271 not work, not pass, not be put on the books, is the '85 manual, and for Senator Haberman, or Senator Lamb, or Senator DeCamp, I would suggest you do your homework. Compare the values of your land under the '85 manual and you will find it is considerably higher than the result of the income stream. Secondly, status quo, according to John DeCamp and others who supported Amendment 4, was apparently the old system, I guess that is the market system, or the 50 percent of actual