

May 13, 1985

LB 247

SPEAKER NICHOL: I will make the decision that they are divisible. Now, Senator Pirsch, would your amendments fit into each section as it comes along? Would you like to take up your amendments along that line?

SENATOR PIRSCH: Yes, we could do that that way.

SPEAKER NICHOL: All right. Well then when...for example...

SENATOR PIRSCH: I hope it is not confusing to the body.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Well, I don't think it would be and if you will insert your amendment on the section that we are talking about at the time, for example, if one of your amendments applies to Section 3, do your amendment at that time. Is that...do you understand what we are doing?

SENATOR PIRSCH: Yes. Yes, I do.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay. All right.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: In that case, we will take up Section 1 first. Senator Hoagland, did you wish to say anything about Section 1 in the committee amendments?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: I could briefly describe, Mr. Speaker, what Section 1 does.

SPEAKER NICHOL: All right.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: It is a relatively simple section. The first thing it does is it expands the definition of money to include negotiable instruments and securities, borrowing on a definition...patterned after language used in a similar federal statute. The second thing it does is it deletes items of value in order to narrow the sort of things that can be seized along with the money. And the third thing that it does is it deletes a sentence about a presumption, deletes the presumption that things found near the money are subject to forfeiture, in that respect further narrowing the bill as introduced.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Is there any further discussion on Section 1? Senator Chambers.