

estimation. I am opposed to the proposal before us.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Chambers, did you wish to speak again? Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, maybe the lateness of the day does cause certain things to happen. I don't understand what Senator Marsh is talking about at all. When a bill is before the Legislature and we are in session anybody at any point can make an attempt to amend it in any way they choose. And if because we are late in the day and people have been smoking and the air has become polluted, Senator Haberman and Senator Chronister, and the oxygen level is kind of thin and our brains aren't functioning, we have to find a way to put ourselves back in a condition where we can operate on all of our cylinders. But if I am supposed to have eight cylinders and only six of them are working, that is nobody's fault except mine. So the time on the clock has nothing to do with the amendment being offered here. The amendment is putting the bill back in the form that Senator Sieck wanted when he offered the bill. Now for Senator Marsh to stand up here and tell Senator Sieck he doesn't know what he wanted when he offered the bill, that he doesn't know what this amendment will do to the bill is, I think, a bit presumptuous. But I don't want to be so presumptuous as Senator Marsh has been and tell Senator Marsh that she can't presume to tell Senator Sieck he doesn't know what he is dealing with. Personally, I think Senator Sieck does know what the bill will do with this amendment and I think he is able to make a choice as to what he wants to try to accomplish with this bill. From my understanding of the discussion engaged in, the bill is designed to make certain that containers of alcoholic beverages will not be in a vehicle if the containers are not in their original unopened condition. That is the intent. Nothing could come closer or more directly accomplish that than Senator Vickers' amendment. If the intent is not to make it an offense to have open containers, then we ought to say so. But Senator Marsh wants to have it both ways. Since somebody close to her may be driving around town with a car full of unopened...I mean open whiskey bottles, half empty cans of beer, half jugs or flasks of wine and other alcoholic spirits, she doesn't want the bill tough, then she will vote against this amendment. But for those of us who may not want these young people, even if they are Senator Marsh's friends or relatives carting around all these