

continue to receive services even though there might over time be a shift of judges to where the larger caseload area was. I feel that by doing this we would ensure then that everyone across the state would receive service within a specific length of time and it wouldn't matter whether you were in an urban area or whether you were in a more rural area. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I have no objection to that amendment. I think it states a fair principle.

PRESIDENT: Is there further discussion on the Smith amendment? Any further comment from Senator Smith? The motion is the adoption of the Smith amendment to the Beutler amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Record the vote, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 32 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of Senator Smith's amendment to Senator Beutler's amendment.

PRESIDENT: The Smith amendment to the amendment is adopted. The call of the house is raised. Thank you.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Beutler would move to amend his own amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, this would simply restore Section 7 which Senator Lamb indicated he did not intend to reject.

PRESIDENT: Senator Lamb, do you wish to speak on this amendment of Senator Beutler's?

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President and members, let's talk about Section 7. It says, "if a member of the judicial nominating commission is placed in a new election district due to action by the commission on judicial work loads." Okay, we no longer have action by the commission on judicial work loads since they are an advisory body. So you see I don't understand why we need Section 7 because action by the commission on judicial work loads is what we are eliminating